



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/639,948

08/17/2000

Ned Hoffman

STA-25

4850

60460

7590

02/03/2009

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM/INDIVOS  
210 SW MORRISON STREET  
SUITE 400  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

ZELASKIEWICZ, CHRYSTINA E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/639,948	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOFFMAN, NED	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHRYSTINA ZELASKIEWICZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 3621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRYSTINA ZELASKIEWICZ.

(3) ARIEL ROGSON.

(2) ANDREW FISCHER.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Drexler, Biometrics, Osten.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agrees to withdraw the double patenting rejection in the final rejection dated November 21, 2008 because no claims were identified in paragraphs 83-87 of said office action; discussed rejection of claim 1 and whether the order of limitations was relevant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christina Zelaskiewicz/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3621